

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS F O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 23313-1450 www.uspilo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/465,607	12/17/1999	TIMOTHY M. KEISER	98-HSX001-C1	9080
63710 7590 06/20/2011 INNOVATION DIVISION CANTOR FITZGERALD, L.P.			EXAM	IINER
			GRAHAM, CLEMENT B	
110 EAST 59TH STREET (6TH FLOOR) NEW YORK, NY 10022		OR)	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3691	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/20/2011	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## **Notice of Panel Decision** from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

Application/Control No.		Applicant(s)/Pat Reexamination	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
09/465,607		KEISER ET AL	KEISER ET AL.	
		Art Unit		
CLEMENT GRAHAM		3691		

This is in recognose to	the Bro Anneal Brief	Request for Review	filad 14 Juna 2011

This is in response to the Pre-Appe	al Brief Request for Review filed 14 June 2011.				
<ol> <li>Improper Request – The reason(s):</li> </ol>	Request is improper and a conference will not be held for the following				
The request does not in	is not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. clude reasons why a review is appropriate. is included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.				
The time period for filing a response continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or fro the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.					
held. The application remains u is required to submit an appeal brief will be reset to be one mor running from the receipt of the r	ent Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been nder appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applican prief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal th from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period lotice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date lable.				
☐ The panel has determiclaim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from c	ned the status of the claim(s) is as follows:  onsideration:				
	A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of cution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by				
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action is required by applicant at this time.				
All participants:					
(1) CLEMENT GRAHAM/CG.	(3) Vincent Millin /vm/				
(2) Alexander Kalinowski.	Appeals Practice Specialist.				
	(4)				
/Clement B Graham/ Examiner, Art Unit 3691	/Alexander Kalinowski/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3691				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Part of Paper No. 20110614